Ni-Al-Ta system. Dendritic monocrystals of various compositions are sectioned at different locations from the quenched interface and temperature at the moment of quench, corresponding at the boundaries limiting the γ' -precipitated regions are subsequently established by electron microprobe analysis. Temperature is finally plotted versus concentration of each solute and results are reported on the ternary diagram.

The accuracy of the method is estimated to \pm 3° C in temperature and \pm 0.05 of 1% in concentration. The method is general in nature, rapid and allows the construction of a solvus curve with only one dendritic monocrystal of a given composition.

Acknowledgements

Support of this project by an Air Force Office of Scientific Research Grant No. 77-3344 to the University of Connecticut is gratefully acknowledged. Part of the work was carried out at the Centre des Matériaux, E.N.S.M.P. during a sabbatical leave of one of the authors (TZK).

New magnesium hydroxynitrate hydrate binder

Nitrate-based magnesia cements have been rarely reported [1, 2], and only one magnesium hydroxynitrate composition, $Mg_3(OH)_4(NO_3)_2$, has been defined [3] – resulting from thermal decomposition of $Mg(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6 H_2 O$ above $300^\circ C$.

This study was undertaken to examine nitratebased cements formed from magnesia (MgO) powder. The MgO powder was≥99.86 wt% pure by spark-source mass spectrometry, and had a mean particle diameter of $4.2\,\mu m$. The solutions used were reagent grades of $Mg(NO_3)_2$, NH_4NO_3 , or HNO₃ and are shown in Table I along with observations of cement formation. The MgO powder (pre-calcined at 600° C) was mixed with the appropriate solution in a glass beaker. The liquid volume in cm³ to powder weight in grams (or L/P) ratios adequately define the compositions used. After mixing, the beakers were held by a larger beaker in a sealed container of saturated (NH₄)₂SO₄ solution which provided a curing environment with a fixed 81.1% relative humidity. Samples were inspected frequently for 72 h and inter-

References

- 1. J. C. LECOMTE and G. LESOULT, Proceedings of the 20th Colloque de Métallurgie (1977) Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Saclay Gif-sur-Yvette, France, p. 415.
- 2. R. F. DECKER, Proceedings of the Climax Molybdenum Symposium, Zurich, Switzerland (1969).
- T. Z. KATTAMIS and M. C. FLEMINGS, *Trans. TMS-AIME* 233 (1965) 992.
- 4. M. HANSEN and K. ANDERKO, "Constitution of Binary Alloys" (McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1958).
- B. D. CULLITY, "Elements of X-ray Diffraction" (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., Reading, Massachusetts, 1959).

Received 15 March and accepted 3 May 1978.

> T. Z. KATTAMIS Department of Metallurgy, Institute of Materials Science, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06268, USA J. C. LECOMTE Framatome, Chalon-sur-Sâone, France

mittently observed for 2 weeks. Afterwards, samples were dried at 50° C for 24 to 48 h, and examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD).

Table I shows that the same phase (unknown "X") occurs for all $Mg(NO_3)_2$ additions to MgO. Only slight variations in intensity occurred in the XRD patterns. The cements which set and could be dried without cracking (Nos. 1 and 2) were analysed chemically and by mercury intrusion porosimetry, with the data presented in Table II.

Since the predominant phase from HNO₃ or NH₄ NO₃ reactions is Mg(OH)₂, and since there is considerably less NO₃ present in the dried cements than initially used, it appears that some nitrogen components (such as N₂O, NO, NO₂) must be evolved in the formation of the binder, such that its final composition is approximately constant whenever Mg(NO₃)₂ salt solutions are used. The slight intensity variations in the XRD data probably result from variable water content. The Mg(OH)_{1.8}(NO₃)_{0.2} · 1.55 H₂O binder phase XRD pattern is shown in Table III.

Examination of the cementitious $Mg(NO_3)_2$ produced materials by scanning electron microscopy showed the binders to be needles (1 to $15 \,\mu$ m

TABLE I Solutions	tested with magnesi	um oxide					
Cement	Cement formatio	n conditions ¹			Curing	Drying	X-ray
number	Material in solution	Concentration of solution (M [m]) ²	L/P ratio ³	Initial NO ₃ /Mg ⁺ ratio ³	observations	observations ⁵	diffraction ⁶
1		5 3.7 [4.4]	3.4	0.67	⁴ Set in 24 h	Very hard, uncracked	Unknown ''X''
2	Mg(NO ₃) ₂ -	3.7 [4.4]	2.2	0.50	Very hard at 72 h	Very hard, uncracked	"Х,,
6 4		3 .7 [4.4] 3 .1 [4.4]	1.6 1.6	0.38		Badly cracked Badlv cracked	X,,
5	NH4 NO3	9.3 [17.0]	1.6	0.60	Set in \sim 72 h,	Uncracked, as	Major phases:
					resembling soapstone	soapstone	Mg(OH) ₂ , NH ₄ NO ₃ Minor phase: unidentified
9	4NO ₃	3.2	1.6	0.20	Set in ~ 24 h, with surface cracking	Surface cracked	Mg(OH) ₂

¹ Prepared by blending the solutions with MgO powder (in a glass container) and curing in 81.1% relative humidity [from a saturated (NH₄)₂ SO₄ solution] for 2 weeks. ² Molarity with molality (moles of salt per 1000 g of water) in brackets.

³ Liquid volume in cm³, powder weight in g. ⁴ Cement No. 1 expanded during curing, breaking the inner glass container after 48 h. ⁵ After 24 to 48 h at 50° C. ⁶ Debye–Scherrer film, CuK α radiation; from dried samples

4+;... -4 + TADIEISchuffe

Property	Cement number		
	1	2	
Formula by	$Mg(OH)_{1.82}(NO_3)_{0.18}$.	$Mg(OH)_{1.80}(NO_3)_{0.20}$.	
chemical analyses for Mg,	2.14 - 2.25 H ₂ O	1.51 1.58 H O	
O, N, and H [*]	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Final NO ₃ -/Mg ⁺ ratio	0.18	0.20	
Bulk density, †	1.33	1.33	
$(g cm^{-3})$			
Apparent specific gravity [†]	2.05	2.05	
Porosity (%) [†]	35.1	35.1	
Surface area [†]	9.2	12.7	

*Elemental analyses were adjusted to the formula $Mg(OH)_{2-m}(NO_3)_m \cdot n H_2O$; water contents were calculated from remaining oxygen and from the total difference necessary for 100% when summing constituents; both values are given. [†]Determined by mercury intrusion porosimetry.

long by 0.05 to $2 \mu m$ wide). The average pore diameters were $<1\,\mu m$ with 98.8% of the pores $<10\,\mu m$, as determined by porosimetry.

TABLE III X-ray diffraction patterns of Mg(OH)_{1.8} $(NO_3)_{0.2} \cdot 1.55 H_2 O$ binder phase

d-spacings (A°)	<i>I</i> / <i>I</i> ₁₀₀ †	d-spacings (A°)	<i>I/I</i> ₁₀₀ †
9.16	1	2.28	4
8.34	18	2.20	4
6.89	8	2.09	24
6.66	2	2.05	1
6.11	14	1.977	1
5.96	2	1.922	15
5.12	2	1.880	<1
4.95	100	1.827	5
4.44	< 1	1.724	5
4.19	8	1.670	3
3.92	3	1.616	1
3.65	1	1.590	1
3.59	3	1.575	4
3.45	11	1.551	1
3.34	1	1.530	2
3.22	1	1.501	7
3.08	1	1.443	1
3.00	27	1.403	<1
2.87	6	1.388	<1
2.79	6	1.373	<1
2.73	< 1	1.341	3
2.68	8	1.285	<1
2.57	< 1	1.259	1
2.53	9	1.232	1
2.47	55	1.212	<1
2.37	1	1.193	<1

*Formula based on chemical analysis of Cement No. 2 with water content representing the average calculated from remaining oxygen or by difference (See Table II). [†]Peak height intensities, diffractometer, radiation.

*Operated for DOE under Contract W-7405-eng-26 with UCCND.

Thermal decomposition of $Mg(OH)_{1.8}(NO_3)_{0.2}$ $\cdot 1.55$ H₂O (Cement No. 2) was studied by thermal analyses (DTA-TGA in argon and TG-quadrupole mass spectographic analysis in vaccuum) as described for yttrium hydroxysalt phases [4]. The TG-quad indicated N-O species occur predominantly $\ge 175^{\circ}$ C. By DTA, two endotherms occur -112 to 285° C (161° C peak) and 370 to 528° C (480° C peak). By TGA, 17.3% weight loss occurred from room temperature to 285° C (where the weight stabilized), and 41.6% loss occurred from 370 to 528° C, accounting for the total 58.9% weight loss. The theoretical weight loss in forming MgO from Mg(OH)_{1.8}(NO₃)_{0.2} •1.55 H₂O is 57.7%. The TG-quad data indicate that the first endotherm could result from dehydration above, which would yield Mg(OH)_{1.8} $(NO_3)_{0,2} \cdot 0.635 H_2O.$

References

- I. P. VYRODOV, J. Appl. Chem. USSR (translation) 1. 33 (1960) 2370.
- T. E. SLYKHOUSE, US Patent 3 326 734 (1967). 2.
- I. A. KUDRENKO and YU. G. DOROKHOV, Russ. 3. J. Inorg. Chem. (translation) 18 (1973) 17.
- C. E. HOLCOMBE, C. C. EDWARDS and D. A. 4. CARPENTER, Report Y-2104, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, January 1978.

Received 15 March and accepted 3 May 1978.

C. E. HOLCOMBE

Development Division, Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant*, Union Carbide Corporation, Nuclear Division, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA